

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/769,004	DAVID SMITH	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Baoquoc N. To	2162	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowance

(1) Baoquoc N. To.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Scott J. Gerwin, Reg. 57,866.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

6,751,744 6,769,099 and 6,834,377


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The discussion was based on the provided references from an updated search, the examiner suggests applicant representative Mr. Gerwin to amend the claim by incorporating language of claim 6 to further distinguish both the cited art and references from the updated search. Mr. Gerwin agreed with the proposed amendment and authorized the changes to be made by Examiner Amendment. .